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#### Abstract

There is reduction in mean velocity of flow in steep slope for larger size of roughness material. There is more roughness for larger size of roughness material with respect to Darcy Weisbach resistance coefficient as compared to Manning's roughness coefficient. There is more roughness for larger size of roughness material with respect to $\frac{d}{D_{50}}$ as compared to $\frac{d}{D_{84}}$.
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\section*{INTRODUCTION} 2.0 inch roughness bed provides large scale roughness and 0.75 inch roughness bed provides intermediate scale roughness.

For large scale roughness $\frac{d}{D_{50}}<2$ and $\frac{d}{D_{84}}<1.2$ and for intermediate scale roughness $2<\frac{d}{D_{50}}<7.5$ and $1.2<\frac{d}{D_{84}}<4$.


Experimental Setup and Procedures: Data were obtained for 0.75 inch and 2.0 inch roughness bed.
Flume: The flume is open and 1.168 m wide and 9.54 m long. Each roughness bed was constructed by smearing masonite boards with fiberglass resin. The boards were then screwed to the bed of the flume.

Experimental Procedure: For each bed, five to seven flows were measured for three different slopes (2,5, and 8\%). At each flow, depth was gaged at a single cross section, so that mean flow and channel properties could be calculated. In flows with large scale roughness, the cross sectional area of flow is significantly affected by the projections of the elements into the flow.

Roughness depends upon $\frac{d}{D_{50}}$ and $\frac{d}{D_{84}}$. For large scale roughness $\frac{d}{D_{50}}<2$ and $\frac{d}{D_{84}}<1.2$ where d is the mean depth of flow and $\mathrm{D}_{50}=$ the size of the median axis which is bigger than or equal to $50 \%$ of median axis. Similarly
$D_{84}=$ The size of the median axis which is bigger than or equal to $84 \%$ of median axis. Similarly for Intermediate Scale roughness $2<\frac{d}{D_{50}}<7.5$ and $1.2<\frac{d}{D_{84}}<4$.

Table 1: Flume Data for 0.75 Inch Roughness Bed

| Sl. No. <br> $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ | Channel <br> Slope (2) | Discharge in <br> Cubic Meters <br> per Second (3) | Mean <br> Velocity in <br> Meters per <br> Second (4) | Mean Depth <br> din Meters <br> (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.02 | 0.00580 | 0.222 | 0.0223 |
| 2 | 0.02 | 0.01181 | 0.348 | 0.0290 |
| 3 | 0.02 | 0.02482 | 0.484 | 0.0439 |
| 4 | 0.02 | 0.04047 | 0.586 | 0.0591 |
| 5 | 0.02 | 0.05348 | 0.656 | 0.0698 |
| 6 | 0.05 | 0.00381 | 0.230 | 0.0141 |
| 7 | 0.05 | 0.00843 | 0.363 | 0.0199 |
| 8 | 0.05 | 0.02037 | 0.583 | 0.0299 |
| 9 | 0.05 | 0.03333 | 0.782 | 0.0365 |
| 10 | 0.05 | 0.04586 | 0.904 | 0.0434 |
| 11 | 0.05 | 0.05460 | 0.979 | 0.0477 |
| 12 | 0.08 | 0.00207 | 0.186 | 0.0095 |
| 13 | 0.08 | 0.00631 | 0.380 | 0.0142 |
| 14 | 0.08 | 0.01007 | 0.430 | 0.0200 |
| 15 | 0.08 | 0.02825 | 0.807 | 0.0299 |
| 16 | 0.08 | 0.04518 | 1.032 | 0.0375 |
| 17 | 0.08 | 0.04879 | 1.064 | 0.0392 |

Table 2: Flume Data for 0.75 Inch Roughness Bed: $D_{50}=\mathbf{0 . 0 1 3 m}, D_{84}=\mathbf{0 . 0 1 9 3 m}$

| SI. No. <br> $(\mathbf{1})$ | Hydraulic Radius <br> $\mathbf{R}=\frac{A}{P}=\frac{W d}{W+2 d}$ <br> in Meters (2) | $\frac{d}{D_{50}}(\mathbf{3})$ | $\frac{d}{D_{84}}(\mathbf{4})$ | Manning's <br> Roughness <br> Coefficient <br> $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{5})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.021 | 1.715 | 1.155 | 0.071 |
| 2 | 0.028 | 2.231 | 1.503 | 0.055 |
| 3 | 0.040 | 3.377 | 2.275 | 0.050 |
| 4 | 0.054 | 4.546 | 3.062 | 0.051 |
| 5 | 0.063 | 5.369 | 3.617 | 0.050 |
| 6 | 0.013 | 1.085 | 0.731 | 0.078 |
| 7 | 0.019 | 1.531 | 1.031 | 0.065 |
| 8 | 0.029 | 2.300 | 1.549 | 0.053 |
| 9 | 0.035 | 2.808 | 1.891 | 0.045 |
| 10 | 0.041 | 3.338 | 2.249 | 0.043 |
| 11 | 0.044 | 3.669 | 2.472 | 0.042 |
| 12 | 0.009 | 0.731 | 0.492 | 0.096 |
| 13 | 0.014 | 1.092 | 0.736 | 0.063 |
| 14 | 0.019 | 1.538 | 1.036 | 0.069 |
| 15 | 0.029 | 2.300 | 1.549 | 0.049 |
| 16 | 0.035 | 2.885 | 1.943 | 0.043 |
| 17 | 0.037 | 3.015 | 2.031 | 0.043 |

Table 3: Flume Data for 0.75 Inch Roughness Bed

| Sl. No. <br> $(\mathbf{1})$ | Darcy Weisbach <br> Resistance <br> Coefficient F(2) | Depth d' of <br> Bed Datum in <br> Meters (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.708 | 0.0282 |
| 2 | 0.375 | 0.0349 |
| 3 | 0.294 | 0.0495 |
| 4 | 0.270 | 0.0642 |
| 5 | 0.255 | 0.0746 |
| 6 | 1.046 | 0.0204 |
| 7 | 0.591 | 0.0262 |
| 8 | 0.345 | 0.0360 |
| 9 | 0.234 | 0.0426 |
| 10 | 0.209 | 0.0491 |
| 11 | 0.195 | 0.0536 |
| 12 | 1.731 | 0.0159 |
| 13 | 0.617 | 0.0211 |
| 14 | 0.680 | 0.0258 |
| 15 | 0.289 | 0.0363 |
| 16 | 0.221 | 0.0435 |
| 17 | 0.218 | 0.0450 |

Table 4: Flume Data for 0.75 Inch Roughness Bed. $\mathrm{M}=1.696, \mathrm{C}=\mathbf{0 . 8 2 2}$

| Sl. No. <br> $(\mathbf{1})$ | $\frac{b}{m}(\mathbf{2})$ | $\frac{b}{c}(\mathbf{3})$ | Relative <br> Roughness <br> Area $\frac{A w}{W d^{\prime}}$ | Function of <br> Effective <br> Roughness <br> Concentration (b) <br> $\mathbf{( 5 )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.234 | 0.483 | 0.2081 | 0.397 |
| 2 | 0.283 | 0.584 | 0.1696 | 0.480 |
| 3 | 0.389 | 0.803 | 0.1146 | 0.660 |
| 4 | 0.499 | 1.029 | 0.0801 | 0.846 |
| 5 | 0.575 | 1.186 | 0.0641 | 0.975 |
| 6 | 0.159 | 0.327 | 0.3052 | 0.269 |
| 7 | 0.206 | 0.425 | 0.2411 | 0.349 |
| 8 | 0.284 | 0.586 | 0.1709 | 0.482 |
| 9 | 0.330 | 0.681 | 0.1433 | 0.560 |
| 10 | 0.386 | 0.797 | 0.1156 | 0.655 |
| 11 | 0.409 | 0.843 | 0.1090 | 0.693 |
| 12 | 0.111 | 0.230 | 0.4031 | 0.189 |
| 13 | 0.150 | 0.310 | 0.3253 | 0.255 |
| 14 | 0.218 | 0.450 | 0.2222 | 0.370 |
| 15 | 0.281 | 0.580 | 0.1742 | 0.477 |
| 16 | 0.339 | 0.700 | 0.1382 | 0.575 |
| 17 | 0.357 | 0.736 | 0.1285 | 0.605 |

Table 5: Flume Data for 2.0 Inch Roughness Bed

| Sl. No. <br> (1) | Channel <br> Slope (2) | Discharge in <br> Cubic Meters <br> per Second (3) | Mean <br> Velocity in <br> Meters per <br> Second (4) | Mean <br> Depth d in <br> Meters (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.02 | 0.00329 | 0.100 | 0.0282 |
| 2 | 0.02 | 0.00837 | 0.189 | 0.0378 |
| 3 | 0.02 | 0.01158 | 0.227 | 0.0436 |
| 4 | 0.02 | 0.02541 | 0.377 | 0.0578 |


| Table 5: Contd., |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 0.02 0.04047 0.519 0.0668 <br> 6 0.02 0.04949 0.601 0.0705 <br> 7 0.05 0.00329 0.132 0.0213 <br> 8 0.05 0.00713 0.214 0.0285 <br> 9 0.05 0.01413 0.337 0.0359 <br> 10 0.05 0.02068 0.431 0.0411 <br> 11 0.05 0.02941 0.542 0.0465 <br> 12 0.05 0.04368 0.643 0.0582 <br> 13 0.08 0.00247 0.162 0.0130 <br> 14 0.08 0.00565 0.205 0.0236 <br> 15 0.08 0.1077 0.313 0.0295 <br> 16 0.08 0.02187 0.515 0.0363 <br> 17 0.08 0.03249 0.637 0.0437 <br> 18 0.08 0.03724 0.712 0.0448 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6: Flume Data for 2.0 Inch Roughness Bed. $C=0.939 D_{50}=0.043 \mathrm{~m}, D_{84}=0.047 \mathrm{~m}$

| S.. No. <br> $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ | $\frac{d}{D_{50}}(\mathbf{2})$ | $\frac{d}{D_{84}}(\mathbf{3})$ | Manning's <br> Roughness <br> Coefficient n <br> $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ | Darcy <br> Weisbach <br> Resistance <br> Coefficient <br> $\mathbf{F ( 5 )}$ | $\frac{b}{c}(\mathbf{6})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.656 | 0.600 | 0.186 | 4.463 | 0.234 |
| 2 | 0.879 | 0.804 | 0.120 | 1.654 | 0.299 |
| 3 | 1.014 | 0.928 | 0.109 | 1.323 | 0.345 |
| 4 | 1.344 | 1.230 | 0.078 | 0.639 | 0.459 |
| 5 | 1.553 | 1.421 | 0.061 | 0.390 | 0.514 |
| 6 | 1.640 | 1.500 | 0.055 | 0.307 | 0.518 |
| 7 | 0.495 | 0.453 | 0.190 | 4.796 | 0.175 |
| 8 | 0.633 | 0.606 | 0.139 | 2.437 | 0.232 |
| 9 | 0.835 | 0.764 | 0.103 | 1.245 | 0.300 |
| 10 | 0.956 | 0.874 | 0.087 | 0.870 | 0.333 |
| 11 | 1.081 | 0.989 | 0.075 | 0.621 | 0.371 |
| 12 | 1.353 | 1.238 | 0.073 | 0.553 | 0.476 |
| 13 | 0.302 | 0.277 | 0.141 | 3.092 | 0.089 |
| 14 | 0.549 | 0.502 | 0.164 | 3.522 | 0.171 |
| 15 | 0.686 | 0.628 | 0.123 | 1.892 | 0.222 |
| 16 | 0.844 | 0.772 | 0.085 | 0.860 | 0.246 |
| 17 | 1.016 | 0.930 | 0.078 | 0.676 | 0.284 |
| 18 | 1.042 | 0.953 | 0.070 | 0.554 | 0.332 |

Table 7: Flume Data for 2.0 Inch Roughness Bed

| Sl. No. <br> $(\mathbf{1})$ | Hydraulic <br> Radius $\frac{W d}{W+2 d}$ <br> Meters (2) | Relative <br> Roughness <br> Area $\frac{A w}{W d^{\prime}}(\mathbf{3 )}$ | Depth d' of Bed <br> Datum in <br> Meters (4) | Function of <br> Effective <br> Roughness <br> Concentration (b) <br> $(\mathbf{5})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.027 | 0.4413 | 0.0505 | 0.220 |
| 2 | 0.036 | 0.3814 | 0.0611 | 0.281 |
| 3 | 0.041 | 0.3443 | 0.0665 | 0.324 |
| 4 | 0.053 | 0.2735 | 0.0795 | 0.431 |
| 5 | 0.060 | 0.2511 | 0.0892 | 0.483 |
| 6 | 0.063 | 0.2553 | 0.0947 | 0.486 |
| 7 | 0.021 | 0.5179 | 0.0442 | 0.164 |
| 8 | 0.027 | 0.4450 | 0.0513 | 0.218 |
| 9 | 0.034 | 0.3750 | 0.0575 | 0.282 |


| Table 7: Contd., |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 10 | 0.038 | 0.3508 | 0.0633 | 0.313 |  |
| 11 | 0.043 | 0.3252 | 0.0688 | 0.348 |  |
| 12 | 0.053 | 0.2617 | 0.0788 | 0.447 |  |
| 13 | 0.013 | 0.6842 | 0.0411 | 0.084 |  |
| 14 | 0.023 | 0.5330 | 0.0505 | 0.161 |  |
| 15 | 0.028 | 0.4646 | 0.0551 | 0.208 |  |
| 16 | 0.034 | 0.4483 | 0.0659 | 0.231 |  |
| 17 | 0.041 | 0.4155 | 0.0747 | 0.267 |  |
| 18 | 0.041 | 0.3615 | 0.0701 | 0.312 |  |

Table 8: Flume Data for 2.0 Inch Roughness Bed m=1.483

| Sl. No. <br> $(\mathbf{1})$ | $\frac{b}{m}(\mathbf{2})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.148 |
| 2 | 0.189 |
| 3 | 0.218 |
| 4 | 0.291 |
| 5 | 0.326 |
| 6 | 0.328 |
| 7 | 0.111 |
| 8 | 0.147 |
| 9 | 0.190 |
| 10 | 0.211 |
| 11 | 0.235 |
| 12 | 0.301 |
| 13 | 0.057 |
| 14 | 0.109 |
| 15 | 0.140 |
| 16 | 0.156 |
| 17 | 0.180 |
| 18 | 0.210 |



Figure 1: Variation of Parameter f with Parameter n for 0.75 Inch Roughness


Figure 2: Variation of Parameter $\frac{d}{D_{84}}$ with Parameter $\frac{d}{D_{50}}$ for 0.75 Inch Roughness Bed


Figure 3: Variation of Parameter $\frac{b}{c}$ with Parameter $\frac{b}{m}$ for 0.75 Inch Roughness Bed

## RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

### 0.75 Inch Roughness Bed

Average mean velocity in step slope $=0.650 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$
Average $\frac{b}{c}=0.632$
Average $\mathrm{n}=0.057$
Average $\mathrm{f}=0.487$
Average $\frac{b}{m}=0.306$
Average $\frac{d}{D_{50}}=2.561$
Average $\frac{d}{D_{84}}=1.725$

### 2.0 Inch Roughness Bed

Average mean velocity of flow in steep slope $=0.424 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$
Average $\frac{b}{c}=0.311$
Average $\mathrm{n}=0.108$
Average $\mathrm{f}=1.661$
Average $\frac{b}{m}=0.197$
Average $\frac{d}{D_{50}}=0.939$
Average $\frac{d}{D_{84}}=0.859$
Average reduction in mean velocity in steep slope for 2.0 inch roughness bed i.e. for large scale roughness is 1.533 times more as compared to 0.75 inch roughness bed i.e. for intermediate scale roughness hence erosion of the channel bed is much reduced in steep slope for large scale roughness.

There is 1.894 times more roughness for 2.0 inch roughness bed as compared to 0.75 inch roughness bed with respect to n .

There is 3.411 times more roughness for 2.0 inch roughness bed compared to 0.75 inch roughness bed with
respect to f. Since $\mathrm{V} \quad=\frac{1.49}{n} R^{2 / 3} S^{1 / 2}$ and $\left(\frac{8}{f}\right)=\left[\frac{V}{(g R S)^{1 / 2}}\right]^{2}$ hence there is more roughness for 2.0 inch roughness bed with respect to n .

There is 2.727 times more roughness for 2.0 inch roughness bed as compared to 0.75 inch roughness bed with respect to $\frac{d}{D_{50}}$.

There is 2.008 times more roughness for 2.0 inch roughness bed as compared to 0.75 inch roughness bed with respect to $\frac{d}{D_{84}}$. Since $\mathrm{D}_{50}<\mathrm{D}_{84}$ hence more roughness for 2.0 inch roughness bed with respect to $\frac{d}{D_{84}}$.

Lesser value of $\frac{d}{D_{50}}$ and $\frac{d}{D_{84}}$ indicates more roughness since larger size has more roughness. Hence $\mathrm{D}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{84}$ are more for larger size of roughness material hence lesser value of $\frac{d}{D_{50}}$ and $\frac{d}{D_{84}}$ indicate more roughness.

There is 1.553 times more $\frac{b}{m}$ for 0.75 inch roughness bed as compared to 2.0 inch roughness bed since mean velocity of flow is more for 0.75 inch roughness bed and roughness is more effective in high velocity of flow to get more wetted frontal cross sectional area hence functions of effective roughness concentration $b$ is more for 0.75 inch roughness bed hence $\frac{b}{m}$ is more for 0.75 inch roughness bed. Since $m$ is constant depends upon roughness material.

Similarly there is 2.032 times more $\frac{b}{c}$ for 0.75 inch roughness bed as compared to 2.0 inch roughness bed. Since b is more for 0.75 inch roughness bed due to high velocity of flow. C is constant depends upon roughness material.

Since $m=1.696$ for 0.75 inch roughness bed and $c=0.822$ for 0.75 inch roughness bed. Hence there is more increase in $\frac{b}{c}$ for 0.75 inch roughness bed as compared to $\frac{b}{m}$ with respect to 2.0 inch roughness bed.

### 0.75 Inch Roughness Bed

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Relationship for } \frac{b}{c} \text { with n, f, } \frac{b}{m}, \frac{d}{D_{50}} \text { and } \frac{d}{D_{84}} \\
& \frac{b}{c}=0.996\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}-0.930(n)^{1.075}-0.708(f)^{1.412}-0.928\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.077}-0.898\left(\frac{d}{D_{84}}\right)^{1.114} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Relationship for $\frac{b}{c}$ with $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{f}, \frac{b}{m}$ and $\frac{d}{D_{50}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{b}{c}=0.418\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}-0.930(n)^{1.075}-0.708(f)^{1.412}-0.928\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.077} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relationship for $\frac{b}{c}$ with $n, f$, and $\frac{b}{m}$
$\frac{b}{c}=3.336\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.077}-0.930(n)^{1.075}-0.708(f)^{1.412}$
Relationship for $\frac{b}{c}$ with $\frac{b}{m}$ and n
$\frac{b}{c}=2.418\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.077}-0.930(n)^{1.075}$
Relationship for $\frac{b}{c}$ with $\mathbf{n} \& \mathbf{f}$
$\frac{b}{c}=1.867(f)^{1.412}-0.930(n)^{1.075}$
Relationship for $\frac{b}{c}$ with $\mathbf{n}$
$\frac{b}{c}=13.669(n)^{1.075}$
Relationship for $\frac{b}{c}$ with $\mathbf{f}$
$\frac{b}{c}=1.748(f)^{1.412}$
Relationship for $\frac{b}{m}$ with $\frac{b}{c} \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{f}, \frac{d}{D_{50}}$ and $\frac{d}{D_{84}}$
$\frac{b}{m}=1.247\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{0.912}-1.078\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^{0.928}-0789(n)^{1.267}-0.480(f)^{2.081}-1.096\left(\frac{d}{D_{84}}\right)^{0.912}$
Relationship for $\frac{b}{m}$ with $\frac{b}{c}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{f}$ and $\frac{d}{D_{50}}$
$\frac{b}{m}=0.693\left(\frac{d}{D_{84}}\right)^{0.912}-1.078\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^{0.928}-0.789(n)^{1.267}-0.480(f)^{2.081}$

Relationship for $\frac{b}{m}$ with $\frac{b}{c}, \mathbf{n} \& \mathbf{f}$
$\frac{b}{m}=0.664\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^{0.928}-0.789(n)^{1.267}-0.480(f)^{2.081}$

Relationship for $\frac{b}{m}$ with $\frac{b}{c}$ and n
$\frac{b}{m}=0.500\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^{0.928}-0.789(n)^{1.267}$
Relationship for $\frac{b}{m}$ with $\frac{b}{c}$
$\frac{b}{m}=0.469\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^{0.928}$

Relationship for $\frac{b}{m}$ with $\mathbf{n}$ and $\mathbf{f}$
$\frac{b}{m}=1.467(f)^{2.081}-0.789(n)^{1.267}$
Relationship for $\frac{b}{m}$ with $\frac{d}{D_{50}}$ and $\frac{d}{D_{84}}$
$\frac{b}{m}=0.894\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{0.912}-1.096\left(\frac{d}{D_{84}}\right)^{0.912}$
Relationship for n with $\frac{b}{m}, \frac{b}{c}, \mathbf{f}, \frac{d}{D_{50}}$ and $\frac{d}{D_{84}}$
$n=0.951\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.148}-0.871\left(\frac{d}{D_{84}}\right)^{1.148}-0.925\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.081}-0.924\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^{1.082}-0.770(f)^{1.299}$
Relationship for f with $\mathrm{n}, \frac{d}{D_{50}}$ and $\frac{d}{D_{84}}$
$f=0.523\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.673}-0.598\left(\frac{d}{D_{84}}\right)^{1.673}-0.672\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^{1.487}-0.673\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.485}-1.140(n)^{0.877}$

Most Appropriate Equation for $\frac{b}{m}$
From (1) equation
$\frac{b}{m}=2.940-0.704-0.021-0.107-1.802$
$=2.940-2.634=0.306$
which is equal to $\frac{b}{m}=0.306$

Hence equation (1) is most appropriate equation for $\frac{b}{m}$.

From equation (2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{b}{m}=1.139-0.704-0.021-0.107 \\
& =1.139-0.832=0.307
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence this equation (2) is not so much appropriate as compared to equation (1).
From equation (3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{b}{m}=0.434-0.021-0.107 \\
& =0.434-0.128=0.306
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence this equation is also appropriate
From equation (4)
$\frac{b}{m}=0.327-0.021=0.306$
This is also appropriate
From equation (5)
$\frac{b}{m}=0.306$
From equation (6)
$\frac{b}{m}=0.328-0.021$
$=0.307$

From equation (7)
$\frac{b}{m}=2.108-1.802$
$=0.306$
Mathematical Formulation for $\frac{d}{D_{50}}$
Since $\frac{b}{c}=0.996\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}-0.930(n)^{1.075}-0.708(f)^{1.412}-0.928\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.077}-0.898\left(\frac{d}{D_{84}}\right)^{1.114}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Again} \frac{b}{c}=0.418\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}-0.930(n)^{1.075}-0.708(f)^{1.412}-0.928\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.077} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence from (1) \& (2)

$$
0.996\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}-0.043-0.256-0.259-1.648=0.418\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}-0.043-0.256-0.259
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.996\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}-2.206=0.418\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}-0.558 \\
& \\
& O r 0.996\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}-0.418\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113} \\
& =-0.558+2.206 \\
& =1.648
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Or} 0.996\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}-1.191=1.648
$$

$$
\operatorname{Or} 0.996\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}=2.839
$$

$$
\operatorname{Or}\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}=2.850
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Or}\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)=(2.850)^{\frac{1}{1.113}} \\
= & (2.850)^{0.898} \\
= & 2.561
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence this combination is most appropriate.
Mathematical formulation for $\frac{d}{D_{50}}$ with other equations:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{b}{c}=0.418\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}-0.930(n)^{1.075}-0.708(f)^{1.412}-0.928\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.077} \\
& \frac{b}{c}=3.336\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.077}-0.930(n)^{1.075}-0.708(f)^{1.412} \\
& \text { Hence } 0.418\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}-0.043-0.362-0.259 \\
& =0.932-0.043-0.256 \\
& \text { Or } 0.418\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}-0.664=0.633 \\
& \text { Or } 0.418\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}=1.297 \\
& \therefore\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}=3.103 \\
& \therefore\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)=(3.103)^{\frac{1}{1.113}} \\
& =(3.103)^{0.898} \\
& =2.765
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence this combination is not so appropriate.

Mathematical Formulation for $\frac{b}{m}$
$\frac{b}{c}=3.336\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.077}-0.930(n)^{1.075}-0.708(f)^{1.412}$
$\frac{b}{c}=2.418\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.077}-0.930(n)^{1.075}$
Hence from (1) \& (2)
$3.336\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.077}-0.043-0.256$
$=0.675-0.043$
Or $3.336\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.077}-0.299=0.632$
Or $3.336\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.077}=0.931$
$\operatorname{Or}\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.077}=0.279$
$\therefore \quad\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)=(0.279)^{\frac{1}{1.077}}$
$=(0.279)^{0.929}$
$=0.305$
$\approx 0.306$
Hence equation is satisfied.
Mathematical formulation for $\mathbf{n}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{b}{c}=2.418\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.077}-0.930(n)^{1.075}  \tag{1}\\
& \frac{b}{c}=1.867(f)^{1.412}-0.930(n)^{1.075}  \tag{2}\\
& \text { Or } 0.675-0.930(\mathrm{n})^{1.075}=0.676-0.043 \\
& \text { Or }-0.930(\mathrm{n})^{1.075}=0.633-0.675
\end{align*}
$$

$=-0.042$
$\therefore(n)^{1.075}=0.045$
Or n $\quad(0.045)^{\frac{1}{1.075}}$
$=(0.045)^{0.930}$
$=0.056 \approx 0.057$
Hence equation is satisfied.

## Mathematical Formulation for $f$

$\frac{b}{c}=1.867(f)^{1.412}-0.930(n)^{1.075}$
$\frac{b}{c}=13.669(n)^{1.075}$
From (1) \& (2)
1.867 (f) ${ }^{1.412}-0.043$
$\operatorname{Or}(\mathrm{f})=\quad(0.359)^{\frac{1}{1.412}}=0.628$
$=(0.359)^{0.708}$
$=0.484 \approx 0.487$

Mathematical Formulation for $\frac{d}{D_{84}}$
$\frac{b}{c}=0.996\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}-0.930(n)^{1.075}-0.708(f)^{1.412}-0.928\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.077}-0.898\left(\frac{d}{D_{84}}\right)^{1.114}$
$\frac{b}{c}=0.418\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{1.113}-0.930(n)^{1.075}-0.708(f)^{1.412}-0.928\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^{1.077}$
From (1) \& (2)
2.837-0.043-0.256-0.259-0.898 $\left(\frac{d}{D_{84}}\right)^{1.114} \quad=\quad 1.191-0.043-0.256-0.259$

Or 2.837-0.558-0.898 $\left(\frac{d}{D_{84}}\right)^{1.114} \quad=\quad 1.191-0.558$

Or 2.837-0.898 $\left(\frac{d}{D_{84}}\right)^{1.114}=1.191$

Or -0.898 $\left(\frac{d}{D_{84}}\right)^{1.114}=1.191-2.837$
$=-1.646$
Or $\left(\frac{d}{D_{84}}\right)^{1.114}=1.833$
Or $\left(\frac{d}{D_{84}}\right)^{1.114} \quad=\quad(1.833)^{\frac{1}{1.114}}$
$=(1.833)^{0.898}$
$=1.723 \approx 1.725$
Hence equation is satisfied.
Mathematical Formulation for $\frac{b}{c}$
$\frac{b}{m}=1.247\left(\frac{d}{D_{50}}\right)^{0.912}-1.078\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^{0.928}-0.789(n)^{1.267}-0.480(f)^{2.081}-1.096\left(\frac{d}{D_{84}}\right)^{0.912}$
$\frac{b}{m}=0.693\left(\frac{d}{D_{84}}\right)^{0.912}-1.078\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^{0.928}-0.789(n)^{1.267}-0.480(f)^{2.081}$

From (1) \& (2)
$2.940-1.078\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^{0.928}-0.021-0.107-1.802 \quad=\quad 1.139-0.704-0.021-0.107$
Or 2.940-1.930-1.078 $\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^{0.928} \quad=\quad 1.139-0.832$

Or 1.010-1.078 $\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^{0.928}=0.307$

Or $\quad-1.078\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^{0.928}=-0.703$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Or }\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^{0.928}=0.652 \\
& \text { Or } \frac{b}{c}=(0.652)^{\frac{1}{0.928}} \\
& =(0.652) 1.078 \\
& =0.631 \\
& \approx 0.632
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence equation is satisfied.

## CONCLUSIONS

Large size of roughness material reduces mean velocity of flow in steep slope hence erosion is prevented and soil conservation is obtained. Since mean velocity of flow is more for 0.75 inch roughness bed and roughness is more effective is high velocity of flow to get more wetted frontal cross sectional area hence function of effective roughness concentration is more for 0.75 inch roughness bed as compared to 2.0 inch roughness bed.
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## APPENDICES: NOTATION

The following symbols are used in this paper:-

| $\frac{A_{w}}{W d^{\prime}}$ | $=$ Relative roughness area |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | $=\quad$ Wetted cross sectional area |
| b | $=\quad$ Function of effective roughness concentration |
| c | $=\quad$ constant varying with bed material properties |
| d | $=\quad$ Mean depth of flow in meters |
| $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$ | $=\quad$ Depth of bed datum in meters. |
| $\mathrm{D}_{50}$ | $=\quad$ The size of median axis which is bigger than or equal to $50 \%$ of median axis. |
| $\mathrm{D}_{84}$ | $=\quad$ The size of median axis which is bigger than or equal to $84 \%$ of median axis. |
| f | $=\quad$ Darcy Weisbach resistance coefficient |
| m | $=\quad$ Constant varying with bed material properties. |
| n | $=\quad$ Manning's roughness coefficient |
| P | $=\quad$ Wetted Perimeter |
| Q | $=\quad$ Discharge in cubic meters per second |
| R | $=\quad$ Hydraulic radius $=\frac{A}{p}$ |
| S | $=\quad$ Channel slope |
| V | $=\quad$ Mean velocity of flow in meters per second. |
| W | $=\quad$ Width of the channel $=1.168 \mathrm{~m}$ |

## Formula Used

$\mathrm{m}=1.025\left(\frac{W}{Y_{50}}\right)^{0.118}$
Where $\mathrm{W} \quad=\quad$ width of the channel $=1.168 \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathrm{Y}_{50} \quad=\quad$ The cross stream axis is assumed to have been the average of the long
$\mathrm{L}_{50}$ and median axis $\mathrm{D}_{50}$ for 0.75 inch roughness bed
$Y_{50}=0.0164 \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathrm{W}=1.168 \mathrm{~m}$
Hence $m=1.696$
For 2.0 inch roughness bed:-
$Y_{50}=0.051 \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathrm{m}=1.483$
$\mathrm{C}=$ constant varying with bed material properties
$\mathrm{C}=0.648 \sigma^{-0.134}$
$\sigma=$ standard deviation of distribution $=\log \left(\frac{D_{84}}{D_{50}}\right)$
For 0.75 inch roughness bed
$\mathrm{C}=0.822$
For 2.0 inch roughness bed
$\mathrm{C}=0.939$
$\frac{A_{w}}{W d^{\prime}}=\left(\frac{w}{d}\right)^{-b}$
Where $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ wetted cross sectional area
$d^{\prime}=d e p t h$ of bed datum in meters
$\mathrm{W}=$ width of the channel $=1.168 \mathrm{~m}$
$\frac{A_{w}}{W d^{\prime}}=$ Relative roughness area.
$\mathrm{W}=$ width of the channel $=1.168 \mathrm{~m}$
$\left(\frac{8}{f}\right)^{1 / 2}=\frac{V}{(g R S)^{1 / 2}}$
$\mathrm{f}=$ Darcy Weisbach resistance coefficient
$\mathrm{g}=$ Acceleration due to gravity
$\mathrm{R}=$ Hydraulic radius
S=Channel slope
$\mathrm{V}=\frac{1.49}{n} R^{2 / 3} S^{1 / 2}$
$\mathrm{R}=\frac{A}{P}=\frac{W d}{W+2 d}$
$A+A_{w}=W d$,
$\mathrm{A}=$ Flow cross sectional area
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ Wetted cross sectional area

